

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

GILAD et al.

Serial No.: 09/833,031

Filed: April 11, 2001

For: METHOD FOR ENRICHMENT OF  
NATURAL ANTISENSE  
MESSENGER RNA

**Box SEQUENCE**

Examiner:

Washington, D.C.

Atty. Docket: GILAD=2B

**STATEMENTS IN SUPPORT OF FILING AND SUBMISSIONS IN ACCORDANCE  
WITH 37 C.F.R. §1.821**

Honorable Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application, attached as requested is a 3 1/2" disk containing the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1,821(e) as originally filed in application no. 09/833,031 on April 11, 2001.

I hereby state, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.821(f), that the content of the attached computer readable copy of the sequence listing and the originally filed paper copy is believed to be the same.

I hereby also state, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.821(g), that the submission is not believed to include new matter.

Under U.S. rules, each sequence must be classified in <213> as an "Artificial Sequence", a sequence of "Unknown" origin, or a sequence originating in a particular organism, identified by its scientific name.

Neither the rules nor the MPEP clarify the nature of the relationship which must exist between a listed sequence and an organism for that organism to be identified as the origin of the sequence under <213>.

Hence, counsel may choose to identify a listed sequence as associated with a particular organism even though that sequence does not occur in nature by itself in that organism (it may be, e.g., an epitopic fragment of a naturally occurring protein, or a cDNA of a naturally occurring mRNA, or even a substitution mutant of a naturally occurring sequence). Hence, the identification of an organism in <213> should not be construed as an admission that the sequence *per se* occurs in nature in said organism.

Similarly, designation of a sequence as "artificial" should not be construed as a representation that the sequence has no association with any organism. For example, a primer or probe may be designated as "artificial" even though it is necessarily complementary to some target sequence, which may occur in nature. Or an "artificial" sequence may be a substitution mutant of a natural sequence, or a chimera of two or more natural sequences, or a cDNA (i.e., intron-free sequence) corresponding to an intron-containing gene, or otherwise a fragment of a natural sequence.

The Examiner should be able to judge the relationship of the enumerated sequences to natural sequences by giving full consideration to the specification, the art cited therein, any further art cited in an IDS, and the results of his or her

In re Appln. No. 09/833,031


sequence search against a database containing known natural sequences.

If the examiner has any questions or comments concerning the above described application, the examiner is urged to contact the undersigned at the phone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By

  
Allen C. Yun  
Registration No. 37,971

ACY:pr  
624 Ninth Street, N.W.  
Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197  
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

F:\,Q\QBI\Gilad2B\PTO\response to notice to NOTICE TO COMPLY.wpd